GCR

- <u>USA</u>
- Rankings
 - GCR 100
 - o Rating Enforcement
 - Country Surveys
 - GCR Awards
 - 40 Under 40
 - Women in Antitrust
 - o Corporate Counsel
 - Emerging Enforcers
 - All Rankings and Recognition
- Events
- Insight
 - Handbooks
 - o Know-how
 - o Regional Reviews
 - All Insight
- Tools
 - Enforcer Tracker
 - EU Cartel Analysis
 - Global Cartel Analysis
 - All Tools
- •
- •
- Logged in...
 - Logged in as
 - o georgemasonlaw
 - <u>Log out</u>
- Search
- Shop
- GCR Alerts
- All Articles
 - News
 - Community News
 - Features
 - GCR Live
 - All Articles
- Magazines
- Rating Enforcement
- GCR 100
- Practice areas
 - Cartels
 - o Damages Actions
 - Deals
 - Joint Conduct
 - Market Studies and Inquiries
 - State Aid
 - <u>Unilateral Conduct</u>
- Regions
 - Africa and the Middle East
 - Asia Pacific
 - <u>Europe</u>
 - Latin America
 - o North America

Republican congressman spars with Wong-Ervin over Qualcomm

Charles McConnell

30 June 2017



iStock/zrfphoto

In a hearing yesterday, a Colorado congressman pushed back against assertions by a former Federal Trade Commission official that Qualcomm has not engaged in a pattern of anticompetitive behaviour, and that the Chinese and Korean fines against it were not warranted.

Representative Ken Buck pointed to Koren Wong-Ervin's written and oral testimony before the House antitrust subcommittee during yesterday's hearing on recent trends in international antitrust. Wong-Ervin, who was a senior FTC advisor on intellectual property and international antitrust before heading George Mason University's Global Antitrust Institute, said the Chinese and Korean fines against Qualcomm were not based in sound legal theory.

However, Buck insisted that her testimony did not dispute the facts of Qualcomm's alleged behaviour, and asked if she was suggesting "that the fact that a foreign government has found a United States corporation to have engaged in anticompetitive behaviour [is] in and of itself somehow wrong or unfair."

On top of the fines imposed on Qualcomm in Korea and China, competition authorities in the <u>EU</u>, Taiwan and <u>US</u> are also scrutinising the company, Buck said, and have thresholds before they would direct resources to begin and conduct an investigation.

"Do you know of any investigation of Qualcomm's anticompetitive behaviour by the EU, Taiwan, the United States that has been fully investigated and where a country has come to the conclusion that Qualcomm has not engaged in anticompetitive behaviour?" he asked.

Wong-Ervin conceded that she did not, but said the FTC litigation against Qualcomm is in its beginning stages, where the agency has <u>pleaded</u> its case but not proven it. She added that the Chinese decision was based on excessive pricing, which is not an antitrust violation in the US – especially as it relates to intellectual property, so as not to diminish incentives to innovate.

Some antitrust agencies outside the US do not need a certain threshold to begin an probe, Wong-Ervin said, and indeed must investigate all complaints.

"China is largely an implementer of technology, not an innovator," Wong-Ervin said. "They have a lot of manufacturers that complain and say 'I want lower royalties.' They are obligated – they don't have to have a good-faith basis – they have to investigate."

Decisions by foreign jurisdictions against Qualcomm, Nokia, Microsoft, Merck and others do not boil down to simply attacking American companies, Wong-Ervin said. Those

competition authorities' decisions "all have in common the lack of an effects-based approach; the lack of evidence of any actual harm to the competitive process or consumers."

• USA News

GCR USA

Latest News

- Republican congressman spars with Wong-Ervin over Qualcomm
- FTC official: policy steady even as drug prices spike
- Half a loaf: The Tipline for 30 June 2017
- Injunctive relief class certification denied in egg litigation
- BlueCross loses bid to stop providers' claims

Tipline

- News and notes from around the antitrust world
- Half a loaf: The Tipline for 30 June 2017
- Hill, eggs, Abbvie: The Tipline for 29 June 2017
- Happy #Leeginversary: The Tipline for 28 June 2017
- More from Tipline

Events



GCR Live 9th Annual Brussels Conference: The bigger picture



GCR Live 2nd Annual State Aid

View all events

Sign up to GCR USA alerts

Copyright © Law Business Research

Company Number: 03281866 VAT: GB 160 7529 10

- About Us Contact Terms

- Privacy
- Advertising
 E-mail preferences
 RSS